

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 9, 2001

LB 114

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: And my question is, is that with respect to this particular amendment, is there a specific problem that you see that needs to be addressed or some anecdotal evidence, a specific case in which you feel that this amendment is required?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Not to evade, but I must answer in this way, Senator Quandahl. Since young people are going to be used in this activity, I believe that their parents or guardians should be involved before they ever participate in enforcement of the law with law enforcement officers. So whether or not a case has arisen where the parents were not involved, I cannot tell you, but I don't want that to happen and we're formulating policy so I think this is wise policy. I do not have anecdotal cases to give you in this regard but I have numerous cases of where young people were pressed into service as undercover informants for the police in enforcing drug laws.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you. And I guess I do understand, or I'm informed, that in most if not all cases of compliance checks, the permission of a parent or guardian is actually required before the minor is allowed to participate in those compliance checks. So from that end I guess I think I'm going to support FA93, and I would urge my colleagues to vote for this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I rise in support of the Chambers amendment. It does go in the right direction as far as I'm concerned and as far as my concerns with the original bill are, so I would be supportive of the Chambers amendment and I would hope that it would be adopted.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. Senator Chambers, I also rise in support of this although, to my understanding, I was just out...found out